



IFW\$

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/754,410
Filing Date: January 9, 2004
Applicant: McCreery
Group Art Unit: 2818
Examiner: David Nhu
Entitled: MICRO-ELECTRONIC JUNCTIONS AND
DEVICES CONTAINING SAME
Docket No.: OSU1159-141H

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)	
	Date of Deposit: <u>November 14, 2005</u>
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
<u>Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant</u> Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant, Paralegal	

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05(b), Applicant submits on the attached form PTO-1449 certain supplemental patent references, publications and/or other information that the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the above-identified patent application. Applicant submits this statement in accordance with their duty of disclosure under 37 CFR § 1.56.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.98, a copy of each document, other than the U.S. patents, is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office

with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application. In reviewing the enclosed copies, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the above-identified application. The copies being submitted with this statement are the best copies available at this time.

The identification of any document in this statement is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.


This statement is filed in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97(c), after the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of either a final action or a Notice of Allowance. This statement is accompanied by a check in the amount of \$180.00 as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(p) and required by 37 CFR § 1.97(c).

Applicant respectfully requests that the documents cited in this statement be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/11/05

By:


Michael Stonebrook
Registration No. 53,851
Standley Law Group LLP
495 Metro Place South, Suite 210
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5315
Telephone: (614) 792-5555
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536



**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce	:	Atty. Docket No.: OSU1159-141H
Patent and Trademark Office	:	Application No.: 10/754,410
	:	Filing Date: January 9, 2004
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	:	Applicant: McCreery
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	:	Group Art Unit: 2818
BY APPLICANT	:	Examiner: David Nhu

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Class/ Sub-class
	5,520,968	5/28/1996	Wynne et al.	428/1
	6,855,417	2/15/2005	McCreery	428/338
	6,855,950	2/15/2005	McCreery	257/40
	6,919,128	7/19/2005	McCreery	428/333

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Translation
	JP 9-219395	8/19/1997	Watabe et al.	Yes (Abstract)
	JP 9-307157	11/28/1997	Mitsuya et al.	Yes (Abstract)

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.